

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/667,297	09/22/2000	Eric R. Lovegren	R11.12-0701	R11.12-0701 1706	
7590 12/26/2003			EXAM	INER	
Brian D Kaul			WEST, JEFFREY R		
Westman Champlin & Kelly PA			<del></del>		
International Centre Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 Second Avenue South			2857		
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/667,297	LOVEGREN ET AL.	ļ			
, italically reduction	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Jeffrey R. West	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repich places the applic	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, asion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	If the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. S  136(a) and the appropriate extended to the final Office action; or (a)	ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been con 	sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	nt(s) a)  will not be entered or by would be rejected is provided be	b) will be entered low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		MARC S. HOW SUPERVISORY PARTIES				
		TECHMOLOGY ALL SE				

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continhation of 2: The proposed amendment to the specification claiming priority to Application No. 09/234,999 is a new issue, not pearlier presented, that would require additional search and/or consideration because removing the applied references as prior art would require a new search by the Examiner. Further, it is noted that to obtain priority, the later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application); the disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc., 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994). In the instant case, Application No. 09/234,999 does not disclose calculating an estimated first reflected pulse amplitude as a function of a correction factor, as currently claimed.